WHY 3 TON E

	•			
4				
COMMINER RECYARACTOR AND PROTECTION				
COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY (osciodos Reference to PCT International April)	FOR USA PATENT APPLICATION Automoty's Docket ID: 2228-001			
VALS a below named inventor. I have by declare that				
My resistance, post office address and citizenship are as stated below afficent to my mana. I believe I am below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plum) comes are listed below) of the subject matter which entitled:	is cisined and the which a patent is sungly on the invention			
"AMMUNITION HAVING SURFACE INDICIA AND M	ETHOD OF MANUFACTURE"			
is attached herein,	•			
Viza filed as United States Applituation 6/757, 49	1 JAN15/04			
on and was amounted (if applicable).	-/ .			
was filed as PCT International Application.				
I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the constant of the above-identified specification, inflation to show. I acknowledge the duty to disclose inflations which is instead to patentiability as defi	DEG IN 37 CFK 1.56.			
I hereby claim fiveign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)(d) or 363(b) of any fraction applications which designated at least one country other than the Lotted States of America, claimed, any investor application for parent or inventor's confidents, or any PCT International application, is claimedADM BUNAL APPLICATIONS IDENTIFIED ON ATTACHED SHERT)	tion(s) for patent or inventor's centificate, or 363(s) of any PCT , liated below and have also identified below, where priority is not having a filing date before that of the application on which patenty			
1 The Day And A Communication of the Communication	Day/Mouth/Year Filed Priority Nat Chimed			
I hereby daim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 of the prior, copending United States application listed by application is not disclosed in the manner provided by the first parametr of 35 U.S.C. 112, I address/edg at defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which because available between the filing date of the prior application and the APPLICATIONS IDENTIFIED ON ATTACHED SHEET.)	clow; and insofter as the subject matter of each of the claims of this poths duty to disclose information which is material to putentability national or PCT filing date of this application. (ADDITIONAL			
U.S. or PCT Parent Application No. Purent Filing Date: (Day/Month 60/440,375 16/01/2003				
POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I here by appoint Seen W. Goodwin (Reg. No. 39,568) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.				
Send Correspondence to: GOODWIN McKAY Telephone No. The Burns Building 403-203-0107	Direct Calls to:			
The Burns Building 403-203-0107 Suite 360, 237 — 8 ¹² Avenue S.E. Calgary, AB 720 5C3 CANADA	Sean W. Goodwin			
	CUST No. 27522			
I beneby declare that all statements made benefit of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be more and finder that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like no made are primarizable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1000 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.				
SOLE OR PREST INVENTOR	CANADIAN			
Cirvan Name (hink and middle [if any]) WILLIAM (Bill)	Family Name			
Full rest Office	or Semente MORTON			
Address BOX 2429, CARDSTON, ALBERTA, TOK OKO, CANADA (if different from PO address)				
SIGN AND DATE HERE Inventor's Segundare *	Date*			
ZDLOAD ROAT DAY SAJOR				
(If any)	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
and middle (place) D JAY	or Sumane MENEFEE			
Full PostOffice Address Residence — City, Solit-Country (of different from PO address)				
(or college to the PO soften) Sign And DATE HERE Inventor's Separature	In.			
THEOREM THAT IS NOT THE THEORY OF THE THE THEORY OF THE THE THE THEORY OF THE THE THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE	Date			
(If any)	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
and middle (fit say)) ANDREW (Andy)	or Summer RESKO			
Address 7635 LAKES DKWY SWITE A				
SUGVARU //				
DATE HERE Inventor's Sensing	Date 1/13/04			
	·			

GOODWIN McKAY



NOTICE OF DUTY OF DISCLOSURE IMPORTANT

Duty of Disclosure (Rule 56)

It is mandatory that information of which you are aware or become aware of during the prosecution of the application up until issuance of a patent and which is "Material to patentability" be disclosed to the PTO (Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)). Submission of such information is necessary to comply with the rules of the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) and to lessen the likelihood of attacks, in any subsequent litigation, on the validity or enforceability of the patent on the ground of 'inequitable conduct' information which must be submitted includes not only printed publications but also offers for sale and public uses of the invention in the U.S. more than one year prior to the U.S. filing date. The PTO considers information material to patentability:

...when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) it refutes or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the office, or
 - (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in any attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability."

If the materiality of the information is not clear, please send it to us, as soon as possible after its discovery, for our evaluation. The filing of an IDS shall not be considered in any way to be an admission that the information is or is considered to be material to patenta bility.

Timing

To minimize the necessity of paying fees in order to have such information considered by the PTO, we strongly advise you to:

- (a) send all known material information to us at the latest 1 month after a new application is filed;
- (b) send all material information to us at the latest <u>1 month</u> after it is first discovered by a person having a duty of disclosure under the rule (the latter are inventors, attorneys or agents prosecuting the application and associates of the inventors or assignees involved with the application); and
- (c) send a copy of the search report in a counterpart foreign application and all references cited therein (or preferably English language equivalents thereof) to us at the latest 1 month after its mailing date from the foreign patent office.

In case (b) above, inform us of the date on which the information first came to the attention of a person having a duty of disclosure. In case (e), inform us of the mailing date from the foreign patent office of such communication.

Non-English Language References

Non-English language references will not be considered by the PTO unless:

- (1) an English language equivalent or translation is provided,
- (2) an individual associated with the filling of the application and most knowledgeable about the content of the reference provides a concise explanation of its relevance, to the best of his/her knowledge; a concise explanation may be provided by pointing out and providing a translation of the pertinent portions of the reference, or
- (3) the information was cited in a search report by a foreign patent office and an English tanguage version or translation of the search report indicating the relevance of the reference is submitted.

To minimize questions of validity based on a non-English tanguage reference, option (1) is preferable, especially if the Invention is of commercial importance. While proceeding under option (2) or (3) may be sufficient to comply with the Rule, any resultant presumption of validity over the non-English tanguage reference(s) may be overcome in litigation, e.g., if the explanation is shown to be inaccurate or incomplete. Also, we foresee that explanations under option (2) may be challenged in litigation on the ground that they were not made by "the person most knowledgeable"

THE DUTY OF DISCLOSURE APPLIES TO ALL INDIVIDUALS SUBSTANTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE PREPARATION OR PROSECUTION OF THE APPLICATION.

THE DUTY IS A CONTINUING OBLIGATION WHICH DOES NOT CEASE UNTIL THE PATENT IS GRANTED.

Acknowledged:	<u> </u>	Date: _	
	William (Bill) MORTON		,
	<u> </u>	Date:	
	Jay MENEFEE	- , -	
	Jay MENEFEE Land Resko Andrew (Andy) RESKO	Date: _	1/13/04
	Andrew (Andy) RESKO	-	

GOODWIN McKAY